UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,151 01/13/2005		Joachim Hasch	03100152US	9119	
	7590 12/20/2006 I & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.		EXAMINER THOMAS, ALEXANDER S		
1950 ROLANI	CLARKE PLACE				
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772		
·					
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	12/20/2006	ELECTRONIC		

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 12/20/2006.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

	r
- 4	
$\sim$	
U	

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	-		
Office Action Summary		10/521,151		HASCH ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Alexander Thomas	-	1772			
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	f this communication app	ears on the cover s	sheet with the co	orrespondence a	idress		
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailible If NO period for reply is specified aborus Failure to reply within the set or exter Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See	FROM THE MAILING DA under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ng date of this communication. ve, the maximum statutory period w ided period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COI 66(a). In no event, howev rill apply and will expire S cause the application to	MMUNICATION  Fer, may a reply be time  IX (6) MONTHS from the	. Ply filed The mailing date of this o			
Status							
1) Responsive to commu	inication(s) filed on <u>01 De</u>	ecember 2006.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	<u> </u>						
3) Since this application	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-21</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are	(s) is/are withdraw allowed. is/are rejected.	vn from considera					
Application Papers			•				
	ist that any objection to the catest(s) including the correction	epted or b)  obje drawing(s) be held ir on is required if the	n abeyance. See drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1)			nterview Summary (I				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/6/05</u>.</li> </ol>		5) 🔲 N	aper No(s)/Mail Date lotice of Informal Parther:				

Application/Control Number: 10/521,151 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The objection to the specification set forth in the previous office action has been overcome in view of applicants' arguments.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The rejection of claim 3 under 35 USC 112 has been overcome in view of applicants' arguments.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 7-12 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Thoma or Buyten each in view of Sean et al. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive for the reasons of record. Both of the primary references disclose products that have interspaces devoid of insulating material; see spaces 6 in Thoma and e1, d1 and c1 in Buyten. Any of the pieces of material, as well as the spaces, in any of the layers of these products meets the instant claim limitation of "interspaces filled with insulation material" since any material provides insulation properties to a degree. For example, in Figure 1 of Thoma, the space 6 could be considered a space devoid of insulation and the piece 3d an

Application/Control Number: 10/521,151

Art Unit: 1772

insulation material filling a void in the laminate. Concerning claims 11 and 12-21, these claims are obvious over the prior art for the same reasons that claims 1-3 and 7-10 are obvious over the prior art for the reasons set forth in the previous rejection dated 9/14/06 and for the reasons set forth above.

Page 3

5. Claims 4, 13-15, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thoma or Buyten each in view of Sean et al as applied to claims 1-3. 7-12 and 16-19 above, and further in view of Ramadan et al. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive for the reasons of record. Ramadan et al discloses that it is known in the art to provide plastic layers in wood fiber laminates; see column 1, lines 9-16. Ramadan et al goes on further to teach beveling the edges of a wood-fiber/plastic laminate to provide a decorative effect. Thus there is a clear suggestion in the prior art to provide plastic layers in wood laminates whether or not the final laminate has a beveled edge. In any event, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the products of the primary references with a plastic layer and a beveled edge in view of the teachings in the secondary reference in order to provide a decorative laminate. Regarding claim 21, the intended function of the plastic layer, whether for decorative purposes or for insulation purposes, is not a distinguishing structural feature of the product. It would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add additional layers of boards and/or additional interspaces to the products of the primary references in order to provide additional room for cables (Thoma) or additional structural integrity (Buyten) for a particular end use of the board.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,151 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,151 Page 5

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Olyandy & Mana